



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States ratem and rrademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/497,522	02/04/2000	Hyun-doo Shin	Q53231	7586	
	7:	590 04/29/2004		EXAM	INER	
		Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W			PARSONS, CHARLES E	
		OC 20037-3202	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2613	. 13	
				DATE MAILED: 04/29/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	P			
Advisory Action	09/497,522	SHIN ET AL.	V			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E Parsons	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or of	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • •					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>O.</u> .				
10.10 Other:	(j)	Lilly				
Lopy of 1449 attached	SUPERVISORY	IS KELLEY PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 2600				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments set forth by the Applicant are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Ratakonda derives his histograms from color values only. However, the Applicant has only concidered the portion of the reference which teaches I frame histograms. In column 17 lines 4-16 Ratakonda teaches that there are two schemes for creating histograms based on motion compensation. He continues to teach that both schemes are effective for histogram computation in lines 39-42 of column 17. Thus Histograms are determined based on motion compensation of iner frames. Furthermore the applicants own specification shows that the current invention is simply making histograms from P and B frames see pages 5 and 6, which is also what Ratakonda teaches.